



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

relatively to each other during the time elapsed between their passage to the same thread.

Observation de l'eclipse de Lune.

ON a fait usage, dans cette observation de la même quart de cercle, et de la même lunette qui avoient servi pour l'observation de l'eclipse de soleil. La marche de la pendule étoit cependant un peu différente parce qu'on y avoit touché.

N° XXXII.

An Account of the Transit of Venus over the Sun, June 3d, 1769, as observed at Newbury, in Massachusetts; by the
REV. SAMUEL WILLIAMS, A. M.

THE transit of Venus over the sun, being one of the most uncommon and useful phenomena in astronomy, I determined to make as careful an observation of it as I could. Early in May I received an invitation from *Tristram Dalton*, Esq. a gentleman of Newbury-Port, to observe it with him. He had a feat at Newbury, in a high elevated situation, very convenient for this purpose, at which we agreed to make the observation. The weather for several days had been dull and rainy, but clearing up on Tuesday evening I went early on Wednesday to put every thing in readiness. The regulation of our clock being an article of great importance, I was very careful to have it thoroughly examined, and well fitted up. To adjust it to apparent time we took corresponding altitudes of the sun, both before and on the day of the transit. In these observations, it was easy to arrive to a pretty great exactness; and as they were very numerous, the going of the clock was well ascertained by them, and found to be steady and regular. The telescope we had prepared was a reflector made by *Nairne*, magnifying about 55 times; a good instrument, but not fitted with a
micrometer,

micrometer, or with vertical and horizontal hairs, as we could have wished.

The third of June proved favourable to our wishes. The air was uncommonly clear, and the sky serene. About twenty minutes before the transit, I began to keep my eye steadily fixed on that part of the sun's limb, on which the planet by calculation was to enter; an assistant counting the clock in the mean time, while another stood by to write down the observations. Thus prepared, we waited with a kind of agreeable anxiety for the high satisfaction of seeing Venus on the sun; a satisfaction I had once before enjoyed in viewing the transit of 1761*, and which I knew must end with that of 1769! The first impression of Venus on the sun, I expected would not appear like a distinct well defined black spot coming on as it were in an instant, but rather like an ill defined mixture of limbs. The event was agreeable to the conjecture, for at 2^h 30' 14", apparent time, I imagined I saw *a small disturbance* on the sun's limb; but the impression was then so small, irregular and ill defined, that it was not till after several seconds that I was certain the transit was begun. But the impression increasing and growing more distinct, I fixed on the time mentioned above as the time of the *external contact*. To observers with telescopes and eyes equally good, and fixed on that part of the sun on which the planet entered, I conceive this first impression might have been observed to an agreement of 5 or 6 seconds. Though perhaps it might be the contact of the atmosphere, rather than of the body of Venus with the sun.

In about ten minutes after the *external*, I began to look for the *internal contact*. From the form in which Venus appeared, being surrounded with a glimmering light, not very distinctly defined, I concluded it would be difficult if not impossible to fix upon the precise moment when her

I i 2

limb

* At St. John's, in Newfoundland.

limb would be exactly coincident with that of the sun; and therefore determined to wait till there should appear a small thread of light between them. As the contact drew near, the thread of light began to form, and seemed to dart on each side of the planet for several seconds without being fixed or settled. At $2^h 48' 44''$, with a seeming uncertainty of not more than $7''$ it became closed and fixed; Venus then appeared wholly within the sun, separated from its limb by a fine stream of light flowing gently round it. This I fixed upon as the *internal contact*, though this might also be the contact not of Venus but of her atmosphere with the sun. Not having a *micrometer* or *hairs* fixed in the reflector, instead of making any further observations, we could only enjoy the pleasure of viewing this curious phenomenon, and showing it to a number of gentlemen that had assembled on the occasion.

To determine the latitude of the place, we took the meridian altitude of the sun on the day of the transit, by transmitting his rays from a style 10 feet high, upon a large horizontal platform. From this observation our latitude came out $43^\circ 2'$ north. Suspecting the observation was not sufficiently accurate, I have since carefully examined the matter, and from several observations which nearly agree, I find it to be but $42^\circ 57'$. With regard to our longitude, the mean of six or seven observations of the eclipses of Jupiter's first satellite, gives it about $4^h 42' 30''$ west from *Greenwich*.

In the above account of the *contacts*, the duration of the ingress, or passage of Venus over the sun's limb, is $18' 30''$; near a minute longer than in most of the *American* observations. By theory it should be $18' 56''$, but as this must have been contracted at the place of observation, $15''$, by parallax, the apparent duration of the ingress, would be but $18' 41''$; that is, $11''$ longer than it was made by observation. I much doubt whether it was possible to discern the planet so soon as $11''$ after the first

first contact, when not a second of its diameter had entered upon the sun. It is most probable that the *internal contact* was past before the thread of light appeared to me to be completed. It seems as though something of the same kind, must also have been the case in most of the *European* observations; as they make the ingress near a minute longer, than it was seen by most of the *American* observers, when by theory it must rather have been shorter. But the different appearances of Venus, different ideas of the contacts, with the unavoidable difference of eyes, telescopes, the state of the atmosphere and the like, might easily occasion such differences in the observations. Though in the same circumstances, it can hardly be thought but that the *European* and the *American* observations would have more nearly agreed.

An Account of the Transit of Mercury over the Sun, November 9th, 1769, as observed at Salem, in Massachusetts; by the Rev. SAMUEL WILLIAMS, A. M.

THE transits of Mercury, though they are not of equal use in astronomy with those of Venus, are yet of great advantage to perfect the elements of his theory, and to determine the longitude of places on the earth. I had an opportunity to observe one of these transits, November 9, 1769, in company with *Andrew Oliver, Esq.* at *Salem*. Mr. Oliver had a good reflector, magnifying about sixty times. But his clock not being in so good order as was to be wished, and not having any instrument to take altitudes, I was obliged to have recourse to the following method to determine the time. The day before the transit I drew a meridian line, with which I examined the going of the town clock on the day of the transit, and on the day after, and found it had kept time very well. Comparing my watch with the clock, the time was pointed out to minutes pretty exactly. Taking the minutes
from

from the watch, I endeavoured to count the seconds, which by a person used to it may be done pretty near the truth. This method of determining the time, though such as an astronomer would by no means chuse, was the only one that I could make use of; and from the pains I took to be exact, I believe it might be depended upon to eight or ten seconds.

At the *first contact* I expected *Mercury* would have appeared as *Venus* had done, something irregular, uneven, and not very distinctly defined. But at $2^h 54' 40''$ apparent time, I was agreeably disappointed by seeing the planet come on as it were in an instant, in the form of a clear, regular, well defined black spot. The *internal contact* was equally instantaneous; at $2^h 56' 0''$ the thread of light closed to appearance in a moment, without a seeming uncertainty of a second. The sky being perfectly clear and serene, nothing could be better defined than the limbs of *Mercury* and the sun. There was no appearance of any thing like an atmosphere round the planet, but all the time the sun was visible, *Mercury* appeared like a steady distinct black spot, much less than some that were then upon the sun. Not having a micrometer, it was not in our power to make any further observations, either on the diameter of the sun or *Mercury*, or of the least distance of their limbs.

An Observation of an Eclipse of the Sun, November 6th, 1771, at Bradford, in Massachusetts; by the Rev. SAMUEL WILLIAMS, A. M.

FROM the beginning of the year 1769 till the end of 1771, there were but two eclipses that could be observed at *Bradford*. One of these was a total eclipse of the moon, June 19th, 1769; of this I had no observation, being prevented by an indisposition. The other was an eclipse of the sun, November 6th, 1771. The weather for several

ral days before, was so cloudy that I attempted in vain to regulate my clock, though I watched every favourable opportunity. On the day of the eclipse I got it pretty well adjusted by several corresponding altitudes of the sun. About 1^h P. M. the clouds gathered so much round the sun, that I was apprehensive they would prevent any observation. But being pretty much scattered, at 1^h 36' 42" apparent time, I could very plainly perceive that the eclipse was just begun. This I judged was very near the beginning, if not exactly so, though it was attended with some uncertainty. In a few minutes the sun was wholly covered with the clouds, and remained thus till 3^h $\frac{1}{2}$, when they began again to scatter, and left that part of the heavens in which the sun appeared, perfectly clear. The weather continued thus till the end of the eclipse, which by a good observation was at 3^h 47' 2". These observations were made with a reflector made by *Nairne*, magnifying as near as I could judge about sixty times; but as to the *quantity* of the eclipse, no observation could be made, the sun being obscured by the clouds the biggest part of the time.

N° XXXIII.

An easy and accurate Method of finding a true Meridian Line, and thence the Variation of the Compass.

By ROBERT PATTERSON.

Read Apr.
7, 1786.

OF the various methods which astronomers employ for finding a true meridian line, none seems so well adapted, as could be wished, to the common use of surveyors, in finding the variation of the Compass.

To find the azimuth of the sun by a single observation of his altitude, besides a quadrant which is necessary for
thi